2017 National NHS staff survey

Brief summary of results from Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust
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1. Introduction to this report

This report presents the findings of the 2017 national NHS staff survey conducted in Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust.

In section 2 of this report, we present an overall indicator of staff engagement. Full details of how this indicator was created can be found in the document *Making sense of your staff survey data*, which can be downloaded from [www.nhsstaffsurveys.com](http://www.nhsstaffsurveys.com).

In sections 3 and 4 of this report, the findings of the questionnaire have been summarised and presented in the form of 32 Key Findings.

These sections of the report have been structured thematically so that Key Findings are grouped appropriately. There are nine themes within this report:

- Appraisals & support for development
- Equality & diversity
- Errors & incidents
- Health and wellbeing
- Working patterns
- Job satisfaction
- Managers
- Patient care & experience
- Violence, harassment & bullying

Please note, two Key Findings have had their calculation changed and there have been minor changes to the benchmarking groups for social enterprises since last year. For more detail on these changes, please see the *Making sense of your staff survey data* document.

As in previous years, there are two types of Key Finding:

- percentage scores, i.e. percentage of staff giving a particular response to one, or a series of, survey questions
- scale summary scores, calculated by converting staff responses to particular questions into scores. For each of these scale summary scores, the minimum score is always 1 and the maximum score is 5

A longer and more detailed report of the 2017 survey results for Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust can be downloaded from: [www.nhsstaffsurveys.com](http://www.nhsstaffsurveys.com). This report provides detailed breakdowns of the Key Finding scores by directorate, occupational groups and demographic groups, and details of each question included in the core questionnaire.
Your Organisation

The scores presented below are un-weighted question level scores for questions Q21a, Q21b, Q21c and Q21d and the un-weighted score for Key Finding 1. The percentages for Q21a – Q21d are created by combining the responses for those who “Agree” and “Strongly Agree” compared to the total number of staff that responded to the question.

Q21a, Q21c and Q21d feed into Key Finding 1 “Staff recommendation of the organisation as a place to work or receive treatment”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Your Trust in 2017</th>
<th>Average (median) for combined MH/LD and community trusts</th>
<th>Your Trust in 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q21a</td>
<td>“Care of patients / service users is my organisation's top priority”</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q21b</td>
<td>“My organisation acts on concerns raised by patients / service users”</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q21c</td>
<td>“I would recommend my organisation as a place to work”</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q21d</td>
<td>“If a friend or relative needed treatment, I would be happy with the standard of care provided by this organisation”</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF1</td>
<td>Staff recommendation of the organisation as a place to work or receive treatment (Q21a, 21c-d)</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Overall indicator of staff engagement for Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust

The figure below shows how Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust compares with other combined mental health / learning disability and community trusts on an overall indicator of staff engagement. Possible scores range from 1 to 5, with 1 indicating that staff are poorly engaged (with their work, their team and their trust) and 5 indicating that staff are highly engaged. The trust's score of 3.74 was below (worse than) average when compared with trusts of a similar type.

**OVERALL STAFF ENGAGEMENT**

![Scale summary score]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(the higher the score the better)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This overall indicator of staff engagement has been calculated using the questions that make up Key Findings 1, 4 and 7. These Key Findings relate to the following aspects of staff engagement: staff members’ perceived ability to contribute to improvements at work (Key Finding 7); their willingness to recommend the trust as a place to work or receive treatment (Key Finding 1); and the extent to which they feel motivated and engaged with their work (Key Finding 4).

The table below shows how Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust compares with other combined mental health / learning disability and community trusts on each of the sub-dimensions of staff engagement, and whether there has been a significant change since the 2016 survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change since 2016 survey</th>
<th>Ranking, compared with all combined MH/LD and community trusts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OVERALL STAFF ENGAGEMENT</td>
<td>! Decrease (worse than 16) ! Below (worse than) average</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KF1. Staff recommendation of the trust as a place to work or receive treatment**

(the extent to which staff think care of patients/service users is the trust’s top priority, would recommend their trust to others as a place to work, and would be happy with the standard of care provided by the trust if a friend or relative needed treatment.)

! Decrease (worse than 16) ! Below (worse than) average

**KF4. Staff motivation at work**

(the extent to which they look forward to going to work, and are enthusiastic about and absorbed in their jobs.)

• No change ! Below (worse than) average

**KF7. Staff ability to contribute towards improvements at work**

(the extent to which staff are able to make suggestions to improve the work of their team, have frequent opportunities to show initiative in their role, and are able to make improvements at work.)

• No change ! Below (worse than) average

Full details of how the overall indicator of staff engagement was created can be found in the document *Making sense of your staff survey data.*
3. Summary of 2017 Key Findings for Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust

3.1 Top and Bottom Ranking Scores

This page highlights the five Key Findings for which Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust compares most favourably with other combined mental health / learning disability and community trusts in England.

**TOP FIVE RANKING SCORES**

- **KF25. Percentage of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from patients, relatives or the public in last 12 months**
  - (the lower the score the better)
  - **Percentage score**
    - Trust score 2017: 22%
    - National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts: 26%

- **KF26. Percentage of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from staff in last 12 months**
  - (the lower the score the better)
  - **Percentage score**
    - Trust score 2017: 16%
    - National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts: 20%

- **KF22. Percentage of staff experiencing physical violence from patients, relatives or the public in last 12 months**
  - (the lower the score the better)
  - **Percentage score**
    - Trust score 2017: 11%
    - National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts: 14%

- **KF28. Percentage of staff witnessing potentially harmful errors, near misses or incidents in last month**
  - (the lower the score the better)
  - **Percentage score**
    - Trust score 2017: 20%
    - National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts: 23%

- **KF14. Staff satisfaction with resourcing and support**
  - (the higher the score the better)
  - **Scale summary score**
    - Trust score 2017: 3.40
    - National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts: 3.33
This page highlights the five Key Findings for which Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust compares least favourably with other combined mental health / learning disability and community trusts in England. It is suggested that these areas might be seen as a starting point for local action to improve as an employer.

**BOTTOM FIVE RANKING SCORES**

1. **KF3. Percentage of staff agreeing that their role makes a difference to patients / service users**
   
   (the higher the score the better)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **KF11. Percentage of staff appraised in last 12 months**
   
   (the higher the score the better)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **KF19. Organisation and management interest in and action on health and wellbeing**
   
   (the higher the score the better)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **KF30. Fairness and effectiveness of procedures for reporting errors, near misses and incidents**
   
   (the higher the score the better)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **KF1. Staff recommendation of the organisation as a place to work or receive treatment**
   
   (the higher the score the better)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each of the 32 Key Findings, the combined mental health / learning disability and community trusts in England were placed in order from 1 (the top ranking score) to 29 (the bottom ranking score). Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust’s five lowest ranking scores are presented here, i.e. those for which the trust’s Key Finding score is ranked closest to 29. Further details about this can be found in the document *Making sense of your staff survey data.*
3.2 Largest Local Changes since the 2016 Survey

This page highlights the five Key Findings where staff experiences have deteriorated since the 2016 survey. It is suggested that these areas might be seen as a starting point for local action to improve as an employer.

WHERE STAFF EXPERIENCE HAS DETERIORATED

! **KF13. Quality of non-mandatory training, learning or development**  
*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
<th>Trust score 2017</th>
<th>Trust score 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low-quality training</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-quality training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

! **KF2. Staff satisfaction with the quality of work and care they are able to deliver**  
*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
<th>Trust score 2017</th>
<th>Trust score 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory delivery of work / care</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly satisfactory delivery of work / care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

! **KF19. Organisation and management interest in and action on health and wellbeing**  
*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
<th>Trust score 2017</th>
<th>Trust score 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low interest in health</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High interest in health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

! **KF30. Fairness and effectiveness of procedures for reporting errors, near misses and incidents**  
*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
<th>Trust score 2017</th>
<th>Trust score 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ineffective / unfair procedures</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective / fair procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Because the Key Findings vary considerably in terms of subject matter and format (e.g. some are percentage scores, others are scale scores), a straightforward comparison of score changes is not the appropriate way to establish which Key Findings have deteriorated the most. Rather, the extent of 2016-2017 change for each Key Finding has been measured in relation to the national variation for that Key Finding. Further details about this can be found in the document *Making sense of your staff survey data*. 

! KF1. Staff recommendation of the organisation as a place to work or receive treatment

*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
<th>Unlikely to recommend</th>
<th>Trust score 2016</th>
<th>Trust score 2017</th>
<th>Likely to recommend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* (the higher the score the better)
3.3. Summary of all Key Findings for Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust

**KEY**

Green = Positive finding, e.g. there has been a statistically significant positive change in the Key Finding since the 2016 survey.

Red = Negative finding, e.g. there has been a statistically significant negative change in the Key Finding since the 2016 survey.

Grey = No change, e.g. there has been no statistically significant change in this Key Finding since the 2016 survey.

For most of the Key Finding scores in this table, the higher the score the better. However, there are some scores for which a high score would represent a negative finding. For these scores, which are marked with an asterisk and in *italics*, the lower the score the better.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change since 2016 survey</th>
<th>-15%</th>
<th>-10%</th>
<th>-5%</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>5%</th>
<th>10%</th>
<th>15%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KF11. % appraised in last 12 mths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF20. % experiencing discrimination at work in last 12 mths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF21. % believing the organisation provides equal opportunities for career progression / promotion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*KF28. % witnessing potentially harmful errors, near misses or incidents in last mth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF29. % reporting errors, near misses or incidents witnessed in last mth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*KF17. % feeling unwell due to work related stress in last 12 mths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*KF18. % attending work in last 3 mths despite feeling unwell because they felt pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF15. % satisfied with the opportunities for flexible working patterns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*KF16. % working extra hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF7. % able to contribute towards improvements at work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF6. % reporting good communication between senior management and staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF3. % agreeing that their role makes a difference to patients / service users</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*KF22. % experiencing physical violence from patients, relatives or the public in last 12 mths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*KF23. % experiencing physical violence from staff in last 12 mths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF24. % reporting most recent experience of violence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*KF25. % experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from patients, relatives or the public in last 12 mths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*KF26. % experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from staff in last 12 mths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF27. % reporting most recent experience of harassment, bullying or abuse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3.3. Summary of all Key Findings for Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust

**KEY**
- **Green** = Positive finding, e.g. there has been a statistically significant positive change in the Key Finding since the 2016 survey.
- **Red** = Negative finding, e.g. there has been a statistically significant negative change in the Key Finding since the 2016 survey.
- **Grey** = No change, e.g. there has been no statistically significant change in this Key Finding since the 2016 survey.

For most of the Key Finding scores in this table, the higher the score the better. However, there are some scores for which a high score would represent a negative finding. For these scores, which are marked with an asterisk and in *italics*, the lower the score the better.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change since 2016 survey (cont)</th>
<th>-1.0</th>
<th>-0.6</th>
<th>-0.2</th>
<th>0.2</th>
<th>0.6</th>
<th>1.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KF12. Quality of appraisals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF13. Quality of non-mandatory training, learning or development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF14. Staff satisfaction with resourcing and support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF15. Staff satisfaction with the quality of work and care they are able to deliver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF16. Staff recommendation of the organisation as a place to work or receive treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF17. Org and mgmt interest in and action on health and wellbeing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF18. Staff motivation at work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF19. Staff confidence and security in reporting unsafe clinical practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF20. Staff confidence and security in reporting errors, near misses and incidents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF21. Staff satisfaction with level of responsibility and involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF22. Effective use of patient / service user feedback</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF23. Recognition and value of staff by managers and the organisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF24. Support from immediate managers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3. Summary of all Key Findings for Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust

**KEY**
- Green = Positive finding, e.g. better than average.
- Red = Negative finding, i.e. worse than average.
- Grey = Average.

For most of the Key Finding scores in this table, the higher the score the better. However, there are some scores for which a high score would represent a negative finding. For these scores, which are marked with an asterisk and in *italics*, the lower the score the better.

### Comparison with all combined MH/LD and community trusts in 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Finding</th>
<th>Score Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KF11. % appraised in last 12 mths</td>
<td>-15% -10% -5% 0% 5% 10% 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF20. % experiencing discrimination at work in last 12 mths</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF21. % believing the organisation provides equal opportunities for career progression / promotion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF28. % witnessing potentially harmful errors, near misses or incidents in last mth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF29. % reporting errors, near misses or incidents witnessed in last mth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF17. % feeling unwell due to work related stress in last 12 mths</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF18. % attending work in last 3 mths despite feeling unwell because they felt pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF15. % satisfied with the opportunities for flexible working patterns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF16. % working extra hours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF7. % able to contribute towards improvements at work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF6. % reporting good communication between senior management and staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF3. % agreeing that their role makes a difference to patients / service users</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF22. % experiencing physical violence from patients, relatives or the public in last 12 mths</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF23. % experiencing physical violence from staff in last 12 mths</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF24. % reporting most recent experience of violence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF25. % experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from patients, relatives or the public in last 12 mths</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF26. % experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from staff in last 12 mths</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF27. % reporting most recent experience of harassment, bullying or abuse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3. Summary of all Key Findings for Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust

**KEY**

*Green* = Positive finding, e.g. better than average.
*Red* = Negative finding, i.e. worse than average.
*Grey* = Average.

For most of the Key Finding scores in this table, the higher the score the better. However, there are some scores for which a high score would represent a negative finding. For these scores, which are marked with an asterisk and in *italics*, the lower the score the better.

### Comparison with all combined MH/LD and community trusts in 2017 (cont)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Finding Description</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KF12. Quality of appraisals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF13. Quality of non-mandatory training, learning or development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF30. Fairness and effectiveness of procedures for reporting errors, near misses and incidents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF31. Staff confidence and security in reporting unsafe clinical practice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF19. Org and mgmt interest in and action on health and wellbeing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF1. Staff recommendation of the organisation as a place to work or receive treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF4. Staff motivation at work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF8. Staff satisfaction with level of responsibility and involvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF9. Effective team working</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF14. Staff satisfaction with resourcing and support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF5. Recognition and value of staff by managers and the organisation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF10. Support from immediate managers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF2. Staff satisfaction with the quality of work and care they are able to deliver</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF32. Effective use of patient / service user feedback</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3.4. Summary of all Key Findings for Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Finding</th>
<th>Change since 2016 survey</th>
<th>Ranking, compared with all combined MH/LD and community trusts in 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Appraisals &amp; support for development</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF11. % appraised in last 12 mths</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>! Below (worse than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF12. Quality of appraisals</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF13. Quality of non-mandatory training, learning or development</td>
<td>! Decrease (worse than 16)</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Equality &amp; diversity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KF20. % experiencing discrimination at work in last 12 mths</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>✓ Below (better than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF21. % believing the organisation provides equal opportunities for career progression / promotion</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Errors &amp; incidents</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KF28. % witnessing potentially harmful errors, near misses or incidents in last mth</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>✓ Below (better than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF29. % reporting errors, near misses or incidents witnessed in last mth</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>✓ Above (better than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF30. Fairness and effectiveness of procedures for reporting errors, near misses and incidents</td>
<td>! Decrease (worse than 16)</td>
<td>! Below (worse than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF31. Staff confidence and security in reporting unsafe clinical practice</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health and wellbeing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KF17. % feeling unwell due to work related stress in last 12 mths</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KF18. % attending work in last 3 mths despite feeling unwell because they felt pressure</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>! Above (worse than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF19. Org and mgmt interest in and action on health and wellbeing</td>
<td>! Decrease (worse than 16)</td>
<td>! Below (worse than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Working patterns</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF15. % satisfied with the opportunities for flexible working patterns</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>! Below (worse than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KF16. % working extra hours</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>✓ Below (better than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4. Summary of all Key Findings for Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust (cont)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Job satisfaction</strong></td>
<td>Change since 2016 survey</td>
<td>Ranking, compared with all combined MH/LD and community trusts in 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF1. Staff recommendation of the organisation as a place to work or receive treatment</td>
<td>! Decrease (worse than 16)</td>
<td>! Below (worse than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF4. Staff motivation at work</td>
<td>• No change</td>
<td>! Below (worse than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF7. % able to contribute towards improvements at work</td>
<td>• No change</td>
<td>! Below (worse than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF8. Staff satisfaction with level of responsibility and involvement</td>
<td>• No change</td>
<td>• Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF9. Effective team working</td>
<td>• No change</td>
<td>• Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF14. Staff satisfaction with resourcing and support</td>
<td>• No change</td>
<td>✓ Above (better than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Managers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF5. Recognition and value of staff by managers and the organisation</td>
<td>• No change</td>
<td>• Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF6. % reporting good communication between senior management and staff</td>
<td>• No change</td>
<td>• Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF10. Support from immediate managers</td>
<td>• No change</td>
<td>• Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient care &amp; experience</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF2. Staff satisfaction with the quality of work and care they are able to deliver</td>
<td>! Decrease (worse than 16)</td>
<td>• Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF3. % agreeing that their role makes a difference to patients / service users</td>
<td>• No change</td>
<td>! Below (worse than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF32. Effective use of patient / service user feedback</td>
<td>• No change</td>
<td>• Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Violence, harassment &amp; bullying</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KF22. % experiencing physical violence from patients, relatives or the public in last 12 mths</td>
<td>• No change</td>
<td>✓ Below (better than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KF23. % experiencing physical violence from staff in last 12 mths</td>
<td>• No change</td>
<td>• Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF24. % reporting most recent experience of violence</td>
<td>• No change</td>
<td>✓ Above (better than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KF25. % experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from patients, relatives or the public in last 12 mths</td>
<td>• No change</td>
<td>✓ Below (better than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KF26. % experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from staff in last 12 mths</td>
<td>• No change</td>
<td>✓ Below (better than) average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF27. % reporting most recent experience of harassment, bullying or abuse</td>
<td>• No change</td>
<td>✓ Above (better than) average</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Key Findings for Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust

Rotherham Doncaster and South Humber NHS Foundation Trust had 1368 staff take part in this survey. This is a response rate of 39%\(^1\) which is below average for combined mental health / learning disability and community trusts in England (45%), and compares with a response rate of 46% in this trust in the 2016 survey.

This section presents each of the 32 Key Findings, using data from the trust's 2017 survey, and compares these to other combined mental health / learning disability and community trusts in England and to the trust's performance in the 2016 survey. The findings are arranged under nine themes: appraisals and support for development, equality and diversity, errors and incidents, health and wellbeing, working patterns, job satisfaction, managers, patient care and experience, and violence, harassment and bullying.

Positive findings are indicated with a green arrow (e.g. where the trust is better than average, or where the score has improved since 2016). Negative findings are highlighted with a red arrow (e.g. where the trust’s score is worse than average, or where the score is not as good as 2016). An equals sign indicates that there has been no change.

### Appraisals & support for development

**KEY FINDING 11. Percentage of staff appraised in last 12 months**

* (the higher the score the better)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY FINDING 12. Quality of appraisals**

* (the higher the score the better)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>3.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^1\)Questionnaires were sent to all 3505 staff eligible to receive the survey. This includes only staff employed directly by the trust (i.e. excluding staff working for external contractors). It excludes bank staff unless they are also employed directly elsewhere in the trust. When calculating the response rate, questionnaires could only be counted if they were received with their ID number intact, by the closing date.
KEY FINDING 13. Quality of non-mandatory training, learning or development

(\textit{the higher the score the better})

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training Category</th>
<th>Scale Summary Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>4.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>4.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Equality & diversity

KEY FINDING 20. Percentage of staff experiencing discrimination at work in the last 12 months

(\textit{the lower the score the better})

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discrimination</th>
<th>Percentage Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KEY FINDING 21. Percentage of staff believing that the organisation provides equal opportunities for career progression or promotion

(\textit{the higher the score the better})

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Promotion Opportunities</th>
<th>Percentage Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Errors & incidents

KEY FINDING 28. Percentage of staff witnessing potentially harmful errors, near misses or incidents in last month

(\textit{the lower the score the better})

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Errors &amp; Incidents</th>
<th>Percentage Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### KEY FINDING 29. Percentage of staff reporting errors, near misses or incidents witnessed in the last month

*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### KEY FINDING 30. Fairness and effectiveness of procedures for reporting errors, near misses and incidents

*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### KEY FINDING 31. Staff confidence and security in reporting unsafe clinical practice

*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Health and wellbeing

### KEY FINDING 17. Percentage of staff feeling unwell due to work related stress in the last 12 months

*(the lower the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### KEY FINDING 18. Percentage of staff attending work in the last 3 months despite feeling unwell because they felt pressure from their manager, colleagues or themselves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### KEY FINDING 19. Organisation and management interest in and action on health and wellbeing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score</td>
<td>3.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Working patterns

### KEY FINDING 15. Percentage of staff satisfied with the opportunities for flexible working patterns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### KEY FINDING 16. Percentage of staff working extra hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Job satisfaction

**KEY FINDING 1. Staff recommendation of the organisation as a place to work or receive treatment**
*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
<th>Trust score 2017</th>
<th>Trust score 2016</th>
<th>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</th>
<th>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unlikely to recommend</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY FINDING 4. Staff motivation at work**
*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
<th>Trust score 2017</th>
<th>Trust score 2016</th>
<th>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</th>
<th>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Not enthusiastic / absorbed</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY FINDING 7. Percentage of staff able to contribute towards improvements at work**
*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage score</th>
<th>Trust score 2017</th>
<th>Trust score 2016</th>
<th>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</th>
<th>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY FINDING 8. Staff satisfaction with level of responsibility and involvement**
*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
<th>Trust score 2017</th>
<th>Trust score 2016</th>
<th>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</th>
<th>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Unsatisfactory level of responsibility / involvement</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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KEY FINDING 9. Effective team working

*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>3.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KEY FINDING 14. Staff satisfaction with resourcing and support

*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>3.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Managers

KEY FINDING 5. Recognition and value of staff by managers and the organisation

*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Scale summary score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>3.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KEY FINDING 6. Percentage of staff reporting good communication between senior management and staff

*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**KEY FINDING 10. Support from immediate managers**

*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>National 2017</th>
<th>Best 2017 score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Patient care & experience**

**KEY FINDING 2. Staff satisfaction with the quality of work and care they are able to deliver**

*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>National 2017</th>
<th>Best 2017 score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY FINDING 3. Percentage of staff agreeing that their role makes a difference to patients / service users**

*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>National 2017</th>
<th>Best 2017 score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY FINDING 32. Effective use of patient / service user feedback**

*(the higher the score the better)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>National 2017</th>
<th>Best 2017 score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
KEY FINDING 22. Percentage of staff experiencing physical violence from patients, relatives or the public in last 12 months

(key the lower the score the better)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KEY FINDING 23. Percentage of staff experiencing physical violence from staff in last 12 months

(key the lower the score the better)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KEY FINDING 24. Percentage of staff / colleagues reporting most recent experience of violence

(key the higher the score the better)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KEY FINDING 25. Percentage of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from patients, relatives or the public in last 12 months

(key the lower the score the better)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust score 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
KEY FINDING 26. Percentage of staff experiencing harassment, bullying or abuse from staff in last 12 months

(the lower the score the better)

Percentage score

- Trust score 2017: 16%
- Trust score 2016: 18%
- National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts: 20%
- Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts: 16%

KEY FINDING 27. Percentage of staff / colleagues reporting most recent experience of harassment, bullying or abuse

(the higher the score the better)

Percentage score

- Trust score 2017: 61%
- Trust score 2016: 60%
- National 2017 average for combined MH/LD and community trusts: 57%
- Best 2017 score for combined MH/LD and community trusts: 66%